# Sixth Appellate District

## San Jose, California

#### MONDAY, JULY 17, 2006

#### H028991 PEOPLE v. ARELLANO-LANDA

The order denying the petition for writ of error coram nobis is affirmed. (People v. Totari, supra, 28 Cal.4th at p.887.) The appeal from the order denying petitioner's motion to recuse the judges of Santa Clara County is dismissed. (not published) (McAdams, J.; We concur: Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J., Duffy, J.) Filed July 17, 2006

## TUESDAY, JULY 18, 2006

## H028380 PEOPLE v. GOMEZ

The judgment is reversed and the matter is remanded for further proceedings on counts 3 and 4 only. The probation condition "do not associate with persons whose behavior might lead to criminal activities" is stricken. (not published) (McAdams, J.; We concur: Mihara, Acting P.J., Duffy, J.) Filed July 18, 2006

#### H028696 PEOPLE v. JOHNSON

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Elia, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Bamattre-Manoukian, J.) Filed July 18, 2006

#### WEDNESDAY, JULY 19, 2006

#### H029135 PEOPLE v. BEAN-MEHLSEN

The trial court's order denying defendant's *Romero* motion is affirmed. (not published) (Duffy, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Bamattre-Manoukian, J.)

Filed July 19, 2006

#### H029053 PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Elia, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Premo, J.) Filed July 19, 2006

#### H029499 PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J.; We concur: Mihara, J., McAdams, J.)

Filed July 19, 2006

## Sixth Appellate District

#### San Jose, California

## THURSDAY, JULY 20, 2006

The following cases are submitted this date:

H029744 In re REYNALDO Z., et al.; SANTA CRUZ COUNTY HRA v. ROSALINDA H.

H029584 In re ADRIANA G.; D.F.C.S. v. GABRIELA G.

H029295 PEOPLE v. JUAN N.

H029711 In re JOSHUA F.; D.F.C.S. v. JESSICA P.

H029676 PEOPLE v. GEORGE R.

#### H029048 BREITENSTEIN v. PARRY

The judgment is affirmed. Parry shall recover her costs on appeal and appellate attorney fees related to the special motion to strike, the amount of which shall be determined by the trial court. (Dove Audio, Inc. v. Rosenfeld, Meyer, & Susman (1996)47 Cal.App.4th 777, 785 [attorney fees].) (not published) (McAdams, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Mihara, J.) Filed July 20, 2006

#### FRIDAY, JULY 21, 2006

## H028844 PEOPLE v. VARGAS

The judgment is affirmed. (not published) (Elia, J.; We concur: Rushing, P.J., Premo, J.) Filed July 21, 2006

H029242 SAVE OUR CARMEL RIVER et al. v. MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT et al. By the Court:

The opinion in the above-entitled matter filed on June 23, 2006, was not certified for publication in the Official Reports. For good cause, it now appears that the opinion should be published in the Official Reports pursuant to Rule 976(b) of the California Rules of Court and it is so ordered. (Order modifying opinion.) There is no change in the judgment. (published) (Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J.; Duffy, J.) Filed July 21, 2006

# Sixth Appellate District

San Jose, California

## Friday, July 21, 2006 (continued)

H028846 PEOPLE v. DELGADO

By the Court\*:

Appellant's petition for rehearing is denied.

Filed: July 21, 2006

\*Before Rushing, P.J., and Elia, J.

H029440 PEOPLE v. HOWAY

By the Court:

On the court's own motion, the submission order in the above-entitled matter dated May 9, 2006, is hereby vacated. The court by separate letter issued July 20, 2006, has requested supplemental briefing from the parties. The cause will be resubmitted upon completion of supplemental briefing.

Dated: July 21, 2006

Rushing, P.J.

115